

REMARKS

The Office action dated February 14, 2006, has been carefully reviewed and the foregoing amendment has been made in response thereto. Claim 26 is objected to because of an informality, which has been removed as a basis for the objection by canceling Claim 26.

Claims 1, 3-5, 11, 16, and 24-27 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Steinhauser et al (US Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0058570). Claims 1-10 have been canceled. Claims 11 and 24 have been amended.

The Office action indicates that Claims 11-15, 17, and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim and would overcome the prior art of record if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 11 has been amended to incorporate all of the limitations of Claim 12, and Claim 12 has been canceled.

In view of the foregoing amendment and remarks, claims 11, and 13-18 are now in condition for allowance. Favorable action is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



Frank G. McKenzie
Attorney for Applicant(s)
Reg. No. 29,242

Dated: March 1, 2006
MacMillan, Sobanski & Todd, LLC
One Maritime Plaza, Fourth Floor
720 Water Street
Toledo, Ohio 43604
(734) 542-0900
(734) 542-9569 (fax)